

#### From:

# **How's Life in Your Region?**

Measuring Regional and Local Well-being for Policy Making

Access the complete publication at:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264217416-en

# **Japan**

## Please cite this chapter as:



OECD (2014), "Japan", in *How's Life in Your Region?: Measuring Regional and Local Well-being for Policy Making*, OECD Publishing. http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264217416-32-en

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries or those of the European Union.

This document and any map included herein are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.

#### Japan

All Japanese regions rank among the top 20% OECD regions in health and jobs, Southern Kanto is the top Japanese region in access to services, education, income and civic engagement, but the last one in environment and housing.

Japan has the sixth largest regional disparities in access to services and environment among OECD countries.

# O Toukai Ranking of OECD regions (1 to 362) Healt 0 0 9 (a) Jobs O Hausia

### Relative performance of Japanese regions by well-being dimensions

*Note:* Relative ranking of the regions with the best and worst outcomes in the 9 well-being dimensions, with respect to all 362 OECD regions. The nine dimensions are ordered by decreasing regional disparities in the country. Each well-being dimension is measured by the indicators in the table below.

Even the low performing Japanese regions fare better than the OECD average in life expectancy, labour force with at least a secondary degree, employment, homicides, mortality rates and unemployment.

Voter turnout is comparatively low in Japan: The best performing regions are below the OECD average and closer to the values of Canada and Portugal.

How do the top and bottom	rogions in Ionan	fore on the well	l boing indicators?
How do the top and pottom	regions in Japan	iare on the we	u-being indicators:

		Japanese regions		Country	OECD
		Top 20%	Bottom 20%	average	average
63	Access to services				
w	Households with broadband access (%), 2013	83.2	57.8	72.5	67.2
	Environment				
•	Level of air pollution (PM <sub>2.5</sub> ) experienced by regional population (µg/m³), 2012	10.1	17.5	14.2	12.3
	Education				
	Labour force with at least a secondary degree (%), 2013	85.7	74.9	81.2	74.6
<b>*</b>	Safety				
21	Homicide rate (per 100 000 people), 2012	0.6	1.1	0.8	4.2
	Housing				
•	Rooms per person, 2012	2.3	1.8	1.9	1.8
<b>6</b>	Income				
	Household disposable income per capita (in USD), 2011	19 031	15 088	17 038	18 907
0	Health				
•	Life expectancy at birth (years), 2012	83.1	82.4	82.8	79.5
_	Age adjusted mortality rate (per 1 000 people), 2012	6.0	6.6	6.2	8.1
	Jobs				
	Employment rate (%), 2013	82.1	75.2	78.5	66.7
_	Unemployment rate (%), 2013	3.5	4.9	4.3	8.0
<b>X</b>	Civic engagement				
	Voters in last national election (%), 2013	60.5	58.1	59.3	67.7

**StatLink** <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888933129543">http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888933129543</a>

Note: Data in the first two columns refer to average values in regions at the top and the bottom 20% of national ranking. The OECD average is computed for 34 countries except for housing (32 countries) and life expectancy at birth (33 countries).

Source: OECD Regional Well-Being Database, http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/region-data-en; www.oecdregionalwellbeing.org.